IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITEI	STATES	oF	AMERICA)			
)			
	V.)	CR.	NO.	03:06CR208-MEF
)			
JASON	EDWARD	COF	IELD)			

UNITED STATES' PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Comes now the United States of America, by and through Leura G. Canary, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama, and respectfully requests that the following Jury Instructions be given to the jury in the above-styled case.

Respectfully submitted this the 5th day of March, 2007.

LEURA G. CANARY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

verne.speirs@usdoj.gov

/s/ Verne H. Speirs
VERNE H. SPEIRS
Assistant United States Attorney
One Court Square, Suite 201
Montgomery, AL 36104
Phone: (334) 223-7280
Fax: (334) 223-7135

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITE	STATES	OF	AMERICA)			
)			
	V.)	CR.	NO.	03:06CR208-MEF
)			
JASON	EDWARD	COF	IELD)			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 5, 2007, I electronically filed the following Jury Instructions with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to Pate DeBardeleben.

LEURA G. CANARY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Verne H. Speirs
VERNE H. SPEIRS
Assistant United States Attorney
One Court Square, Suite 201
Montgomery, AL 36104
Phone: (334) 223-7280
Fax: (334) 223-7135

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 2.2

DUTY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE (WHEN ANY DEFENDANT DOES NOT TESTIFY)

You must make your decision only on the basis of the testimony and other evidence presented here during the trial; and you must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy or prejudice for or against the Defendant or the Government.

You must also follow the law as I explain it to you whether you agree with that law or not; and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You may not single out, or disregard, any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against any Defendant is not evidence of guilt. Indeed, the Defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a Defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The Government has the burden of proving a Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must find the Defendant not guilty.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 3

DEFINITION OF REASONABLE DOUBT

Thus, while the Government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary that the Defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the Government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the Defendant's guilt.

A "reasonable doubt" is a real doubt, based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs. If you are convinced that the Defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so. If you are not convinced, say so.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 4.2

CONSIDERATION OF THE EVIDENCE, DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL - ARGUMENT OF COUNSEL AND COMMENTS BY THE COURT

As I said earlier, you must consider only the evidence that I have admitted in the case. The term "evidence" includes the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in the record. Remember that anything the lawyers say is not evidence in the case. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you. Also, you should not assume from anything I may have said that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in this case. Except for my instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own decision concerning the facts.

In considering the evidence you may make deductions and reach conclusions which reason and common sense lead you to make; and you should not be concerned about whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial. "Direct evidence" is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eye witness. "Circumstantial evidence" is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances tending to prove, or disprove, any fact in dispute. The law makes no distinction between the weight you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 4

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 5

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

Now, in saying that you must consider all of the evidence, I do not mean that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate. You should decide whether you believe what each witness had to say, and how important that testimony was. In making that decision you may believe or disbelieve any witness, in whole or in part. Also, the number of witnesses testifying concerning any particular dispute is not controlling.

In deciding whether you believe or do not believe any witness I suggest that you ask yourself a few questions: Did the witness impress you as one who was telling the truth? Did the witness have any particular reason not to tell the truth? Did the witness have a personal interest in the outcome of the case? Did the witness seem to have a good memory? Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to observe accurately the things he or she testified about? Did the witness appear to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly? Did the witness's testimony differ from other testimony or other evidence?

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 6.6

INCONSISTENT STATEMENT AND FELONY CONVICTION (DEFENDANT TESTIFIES WITH FELONY CONVICTION)

You should also ask yourself whether there was evidence tending to prove that a witness testified falsely concerning some important fact; or, whether there was evidence that at some other time a witness said or did something, or failed to say or do something, which was different from the testimony the witness gave before you during the trial.

The fact that a witness has been convicted of a felony offense, or a crime involving dishonesty or false statement, is another factor you may consider in deciding whether you believe that witness.

You should keep in mind, of course, that a simple mistake by a witness does not necessarily mean that the witness was not telling the truth as he or she remembers it, because people naturally tend to forget some things or remember other things inaccurately. So, if a witness has made a misstatement, you need to consider whether it was simply an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood; and the significance of that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or with only an unimportant detail.

A Defendant has a right not to testify. If a Defendant does

testify, however, you should decide in the same way as that of any other witness whether you believe the Defendant's testimony.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 6

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 7

EXPERT WITNESSES

When knowledge of a technical subject matter might be helpful to the jury, a person having special training or experience in that technical field is permitted to state an opinion concerning those technical matters.

Merely because such a witness has expressed an opinion, however, does not mean that you must accept that opinion. The same as with any other witness, it is up to you to decide whether to rely upon it.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Special Instruction No. 6

POSSESSION

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may also have sole possession or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control of something is then in actual possession of it.

A person who is not in actual possession, but who has both the power and the intention to later take control over something either alone or together with someone else, is in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has possession of something, that possession is sole. If two or more persons share possession, such possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in the these instructions it includes constructive as well as actual possession, and also joint as well as sole possession.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 8

Special Instruction

Consciousness of Guilt From Evidence of Flight

You have heard evidence that the defendant fled after he believed that he was about to be charged with committing the crime for which he is now on trial. If proved, the flight of a defendant after he knows he is to be accused of a crime may tend to prove that the defendant believed that he was guilty. It may be weighed by you in this connection, together with all the other evidence.

However, flight may not always reflect feelings of guilt.

Moreover, feelings of guilt, which are present in many innocent people, do not necessarily reflect actual guilt.

You are specifically cautioned that evidence of flight of a defendant may not be used by you as a substitute for proof of guilt. Flight does not create a presumption of guilt.

Whether or not evidence of flight does show that the defendant believed that he was guilty, and the significance, if any, to be given to the defendant's feelings on this matter are for you to determine.

1 L. Sand, et al., Modern Federal Jury Instructions, 2002

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 9

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Offense Instruction No. 30.6

POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY A CONVICTED FELON 18 U.S.C. § 922(q)

Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g), makes it a Federal crime or offense for anyone who has been convicted of a felony offense to possess any firearm in or affecting interstate commerce.

The Defendant can be found guilty of that offense only if all of the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

First: That the Defendant knowingly possessed a firearm in or affecting interstate commerce; and

Second: That before the Defendant possessed the firearm the Defendant had been convicted in a court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term in excess of one year, that is, a felony.

The term firearm means any weapon which is designed to, or may readily be converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; and the term includes the frame or receiver of any such weapon, or any firearm muffler or firearm silencer.

The term "interstate commerce" includes the movement of a firearm between any place in one state and any place in another state. It is not necessary for the government to prove that the

defendant knew that the firearm had moved in interstate commerce before the defendant possessed it, only that it had made such movement.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Offense Instruction No. 77

Sale or Receipt Of A Stolen Motor Vehicle

18 U.S.C. § 2313

Title 18, United States Code, section 2313, makes it a Federal crime or offense for anyone to receive, possess, conceal, store, sell or dispose of any motor vehicle which has crossed a State or United States boundary after being stolen, knowing it to have been stolen.

The Defendant can be found guilty of that offense only if all of the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That the Defendant willfully received, possessed, concealed, stored, sold, or disposed of a stolen motor vehicle, as described in the indictment, with knowledge that the motor vehicle had been stolen; and

Second: That at the time the Defendant did so, the motor vehicle had crossed a State or United States boundary after having been stolen.

The indictment alleges that the Defendant received, possessed, concealed, stored, sold and disposed of a certain motor vehicle. The law specifies several different ways in which the offense can be committed, and it is not necessary for the Government to prove that all such acts were in fact committed. The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant <u>either</u> received, possessed, concealed, stored, sold, or disposed of the motor

vehicle; but in order to return a verdict of guilt you must agree unanimously upon the way in which the offense was committed.

The word "stolen" includes any wrongful and dishonest taking of a motor vehicle with intent to deprive the owner of the rights and benefits of ownership.

Also, while it must be proved that the Defendant knew the vehicle had been stolen, it is not necessary to prove that the Defendant knew that the vehicle had crossed a State or United States boundary after it had been stolen.

The word "State" includes a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Special Instruction No. 8

Deliberate Ignorance (As Proof of Knowledge) (KNOWLEDGE OF FIREARMS AND STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLES)

When knowledge of the existence of a particular fact is an essential part of an offense, such knowledge may be established if the Defendant is aware of a high probability of its existence, unless the Defendant actually believes that it does not exist.

So, with respect to the issue of Defendant's knowledge in this case, if you find from all the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendants possessed firearms and stolen motor vehicles, or deliberately and consciously tried to avoid learning of any firearms or stolen motor vehicles, you may treat such deliberate avoidance of positive knowledge as the equivalent of knowledge.

In other words, you may find that the Defendants acted "knowingly" if you find beyond a reasonable doubt either (1) that the Defendant actually knew he possessed a firearm and stolen motor vehicles; or (2) that he deliberately closed his eyes to what he had every reason to believe was the fact.

I must emphasize, however, that the requisite proof of knowledge on the part of the defendant cannot be established by merely demonstrating that the Defendant was negligent, careless or foolish.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 9.2

ON OR ABOUT - KNOWINGLY (ONLY) (WHEN WILLFULNESS OR SPECIFIC INTENT IS NOT AN ELEMENT)

You will note that the indictment charges that the offense was committed "on or about" a certain date. The Government does not have to prove with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

The word "knowingly," as that term is used in the indictment or in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of mistake or accident.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 10.2

Caution - - Punishment (Single Defendant - Multiple Counts)

A separate crime or offense is charged in each count of the indictment. Each charge and the evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately. The fact that you may find the Defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the offenses charged should not affect your verdict as to any other offenses charged.

I caution you, members of the Jury, that you are here to determine from the evidence in this case whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The Defendant is on trial for only those specific offenses alleged in the indictment.

Also, the question of punishment should never be considered by the jury in any way in deciding this case. If the Defendant is convicted, the matter of punishment is for the Judge alone to determine later.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 11

DUTY TO DELIBERATE

Any verdict you reach in the jury room, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. In other words, to return a verdict you must all agree. Your deliberations will be secret; you will never have to explain your verdict to anyone.

It is your duty as jurors to discuss the case with one another in an effort to reach agreement if you can do so. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after full consideration of the evidence with the other members of the jury. While you are discussing the case do not hesitate to reexamine your own opinion and change your mind if you become convinced that you were wrong. But do not give up your honest beliefs solely because the others think differently or merely to get the case over with.

Remember, that in a very real way you are judges - judges of the facts. Your only interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 15

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal

Basic Instruction No. 12

VERDICT

When you go to the jury room you should first select one of your members to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will speak for you here in court.

A form of verdict has been prepared for your convenience. [Explain verdict]

You will take the verdict form to the jury room and when you have reached unanimous agreement you will have your foreperson fill in the verdict form, date and sign it, and then return to the courtroom.

If you should desire to communicate with me at any time, please write down your message or question and pass the note to the marshal who will bring it to my attention. I will then respond as promptly as possible, either in writing or by having you returned to the courtroom so that I can address you orally. I caution you, however, with regard to any message or question you might send, that you should not tell me your numerical division at the time.